## **Guided Tier 2 Problem Solving Worksheet**



ı					
	School:				
	Grade/Content Area and Focus: Indicated the grade level(s) or content area and the general area of focus.				
	Meeting Date:				
	Data Source: What data identified the need for problem solving?				
	<b>Team Members:</b> Team should consist of teachers for the students being discussed (gen ed and ESE), as well as content area experts, student services staff, etc., as needed.				
St	ep 1 – Problem Identification: What is the problem?				
	Expected Level of Performance: What is expected/desired for every student and how is that measured?				
	Students will, as measured by				
	Criteria for Identifying Students for Tier 2 Intervention: Considering all available resources (including adjustments to core instruction based on Tier 1 problem solving), what cut score/threshold is used to determine who will receive Tier 2 intervention (if different from "below the expectation")?				
Students will, as measured by  Criteria for Identifying Students for Tier 2 Intervention: Considering all available resources (including adjustments to core instruction based on Tier 1 problem solving), what cut score/threshold is used to determine who will receive Tier 2 intervention (if different from					
	Target Skill: What target skill area will this intervention target?.				
	Student Group: Which students share the same or similar need? List the names of students who will be served in this small group.				
	Notes:				

## Step 3 – Intervention Design: What are we going to do about it?

Intervention Plan	<b>Support Plan</b> What support will be given to the intervention provider, by whom, when, and where?	Fidelity Documentation	Progress Monitoring Plan
Who is responsible?	Who is responsible?	Who is responsible?	Who is responsible?
What is the selected intervention? What intervention will be used with thisgroup of students? When will it occur? On what days, at what time, for how long, etc.? Where will it occur? Where will the intervention be provided?	What will be done? When will it occur?	What will be done? What data will be collected to monitor that the intervention is being provided as planned (amount, method, quality)?	What data will be collected and when? What data will be collected to know if the group of students is making progress?
	Where will it occur?	When will it occur?	When will team reconvene to
	Use this section if additional support will be provided. Who is responsible?	How will data be shared? How will this information be shared with the intervention provider?	evaluate progress? When will the data be reviewed for decision making?
	What will be done? When will it occur?	Use this section if additional dimensions of fidelity will be monitored. Who is responsible?	The plan will be considered effective if approximately 70% of students are making progress toward the goal.
	Where will it occur?	What will be done?	
		When will it occur?	
		How will data be shared?	
Goal (SMART): What is the goal for the targe	et skill? By, students will, as	measured by	
Notes:			

## Step 4 – Response to Instruction/Intervention: Is it working? **Review Date: Team Members: Group Response to Intervention:** What percent of students in this group responded to the intervention? % of students met or exceeded expected level of performance Plan Revisions Based in Intervention Effectiveness: Indicate effectiveness of the plan, and identify plan for next steps ☐ for ≈70% or More of Group (intervention considered effective) Goal is *not* met: Continue plan as designed *or* Increase intensity of current plan (document all changes or adjustments) Goal is met: $\square$ Fade intervention and monitor or $\square$ Identify new goal, modify plan (document all changes or adjustments, complete new PSW if appropriate) For any student who did not respond to effective Tier 2 intervention, first review fidelity documentation and address, if needed. If fidelity is good, increase intensity of the intervention and monitor, or return to earlier steps of problem solving. ☐ for Less Than ≈70% of Group (intervention considered *not* effective) Fidelity concerns: Address fidelity, continue plan as designed and monitor (document adjustments to address fidelity) No fidelity concerns: $\square$ Increase intensity of current plan and monitor (if improvement doesn't occur, return to earlier steps of problem solving) or $\square$ consider a different Tier 2 intervention that matches the students' need or return to Step 2 and reconsider the skill area addressed (document all changes or adjustments) If less than 70% of the group is making progress, no decisions can be made about an individual student's performance. Changes or adjustments to the plan: What are next steps? (Include any changes to the intervention, or to the support, fidelity or progress monitoring plans) Next Meeting Date: When will team meet again to review data and make decisions? Notes: